

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|--|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|--------------------|--|
| 09/843,501 | 04/26/2001 | Akira Ohkado | JP920000107US1 | 9264 | |
| 7590 07/19/2004 | | | EXAM | EXAMINER | |
| WHITMAN CURTIS & CHRISTOFFERSON, PC 11491 SUNSET HILLS ROAD | | | CHILCOT, R | CHILCOT, RICHARD E | |
| SUITE 340 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| RESTON, VA | 20190 | | 3627 | | |

DATE MAILED: 07/19/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| • | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|--|--|---|--|
| Advisory Action | 09/843,501 | OHKADO, AKIRA | |
| , | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Richard E. Chilcot, Jr. | 3627 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence add | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED 07 May 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THI Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. | void abandonment of this applica) a timely filed amendment whici | ation. A proper reply h places the applica | y to a tion in |
| PERIOD FOR RE | EPLY [check either a) or b)] | | |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing | = • | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). | later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH | g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. | on. See MPEP |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The ee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of 2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Officially filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C | of extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the mai | ount of the fee. The approriginally set in the final | opriate extension Office action: or |
| A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFI | | | |
| The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be | ecause: | | |
| (a) they raise new issues that would require further | er consideration and/or search (| see NOTE below); | |
| (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b | pelow); | | |
| (c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or | n better form for appeal by mate | rially reducing or sir | nplifying the |
| (d) they present additional claims without canceli NOTE: | ing a corresponding number of f | inally rejected claim | s. |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject | tion(s): | | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | be allowable if submitted in a se | eparate, timely filed | amendment |
| 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: Se | | dered but does NO | Γ place the |
| The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bec raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. | ause it is not directed SOLELY t | o issues which were | e newly |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we | | | and an |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: none. | • | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: none. | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: 19-32. | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | |
| 8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app | roved or b) disapproved by t | he Examiner. | |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer | • | | |
| 0. ☐ Other: | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | ; | / |
| | | M | |
| | | Richard E. Chilcot, Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3627 | Jr. |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03) Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: applicant's argumet concerning the combination o references is based upon the degree of anonymity is noted; however, no degree or level is claimed.